Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/771.761	PITBLADDO, RICHARD B	3
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sean E. Vincent	1731	

Matica of Allowability	F	Art Unit	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Artonic	
	Sean E. Vincent	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT Right of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	or other appropriate communic GHTS. This application is subjected MPEP 1308.	sation will be mailed in	due course. THIS
1. X This communication is responsive to telephone interview of	December 8, 2004.		•
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-46 and 101-113</u> .	·.	•	
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> are accepted by the			
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una All b) Some* c) None No	been received. been received in Application I currents have been received in of this communication to file a stend of this application. be the attached EXAM as reason(s) why the oath or dest be submitted. be submitted. con's Patent Drawing Review (con's Patent Drawing Review (con's Patent Orawing Review (con's Patent Drawing to 37 CFR (con's Patent DEPOSIT OF BIOL	Non this national stage apprehimation and stage	ne requirements or NOTICE OF not the back) of ted. Note the
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 	<u>-</u>	rmal Patent Application	n (PTO-152)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ⊠ Interview Sun Paper No./M	nmary (PTO-413), lail Date mendment/Comment	
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No./Mail Date 	•		
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	•	tatement of Reasons for	or Allowance
of Biological Material	9. 🗌 Other	•	

Application/Control Number: 10/771,761

Art Unit: 1731

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

- 1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.
- 2. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Meghan Van Leeuwen on December 8, 2004.

The application has been amended as follows:

- 3. The title has been changed to "Overflow downdraw glass forming method and apparatus".
- 4. Claims 47-100 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer from a later filing of the same claims in a divisional application.
- 5. Claim 1 has been amended as follows:
 - 1. (Currently Amended) An improved apparatus for forming sheet glass, wherein the apparatus includes an inflow pipe for delivering molten glass, a trough for receiving molten glass that has sides attached to a wedged shaped sheet forming structure that has downwardly sloping sides converging at the bottom of the wedge such that a glass sheet is formed when molten glass flows over the sides of the trough, down the downwardly sloping sides of the wedged shaped sheet forming structure and meets at the bottom of wedge, and wherein the improvement comprises:

Application/Control Number: 10/771,761

Art Unit: 1731

a downcomer pipe inserted vertically downward into an end of the inflow pipe opposite the trough, wherein a bottom of the downcomer pipe comprises at least one downward extension including one or more V-shaped or curved extensions, which produces a controlled vortex flow of at least a portion of a glass stream exiting the downcomer pipe, directing at least a portion of the glass stream into a specific location.

6. Claim 18 has been amended as follows:

18. (Currently Amended) A method for manufacturing glass sheets using an apparatus that includes a trough for receiving molten glass that has sides attached to a wedged shaped sheet forming structure that has downwardly sloping sides converging at the bottom of the wedge such that a glass sheet is formed when molten glass flows over the sides of the trough, down the downwardly sloping sides of the wedged shaped sheet forming structure and meets at the bottom of wedge, wherein the method comprises the step of:

altering a flow path of at least a portion of a molten glass stream, wherein a bottom of a downcomer pipe comprises at least one downward extension including one or more V-shaped or curved extensions, which produces a controlled vortex flow of at least a portion of the glass stream exiting the

Art Unit: 1731

downcomer pipe, directing at least a portion of the glass stream into a specific location.

- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art does not teach or fairly suggest apparatus for forming sheet glass as claimed having any of the following features:
 - a. The bottom of a downcomer pipe comprising one or more V-shaped or curved extensions as claimed
 - b. A bead guide as claimed
 - c. The downcomer pipe not centered with the centerline of the inflow pipe as claimed
 - d. The bottom of a downcomer pipe cut at an angle to the centerline of the downcomer pipe as claimed
 - e. An inlet end glass seal structure designed as claimed.
- 8. The prior art does not teach or fairly suggest methods for manufacturing glass sheets as claimed including one of the following features:
 - f. The bottom of a downcomer pipe comprising one or more V-shaped or curved extensions as claimed
 - g. Moving the inflow pipe relative to the downcomer pipe such that the pipes are off center as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,761

Art Unit: 1731

It would not have been obvious to incorporate the above features into the apparatus and 9. methods of the prior art.

- Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the 10. payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."
- The information disclosure statements from the parent file 10/214,904 have been 11. considered and the reference listings transferred from the parent file into this file.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 12. examiner should be directed to Sean E. Vincent whose telephone number is (571) 272-1194. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:30 - 6:00).
- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's 13. supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 8664217 19197 (toll-free).

Sean E Vincent **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1731

S Vincent Wednesday, December 08, 2004

,		
Interview Summary	10/771,761	PITBLADDO, RICHARD B.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sean E. Vincent	1731
Il participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	
) <u>Sean E. Vincent</u> .	(3)	
) <u>Meghan Van Leeuwen</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>08 December 2004</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's represent	ative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all pending</u> .		
dentification of prior art discussed: <u>Japan 2001-80922 a</u>	nd Cortright et al already of	record.
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached. h)	□ N/A.
allowance and avoid prior art rejections on the non-allow prejudice or disclaimer toward the later filing of one or m	ore divisional applications c	ontaining the canceled claims.
orejudice or disclaimer toward the later filing of one or make fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where not allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	ore divisional applications condments which the examine copy of the amendments to	ontaining the canceled claims. er agreed would render the clair
orejudice or disclaimer toward the later filing of one or m A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no	endments which the examine copy of the amendments the last Office action has also of the mailing date of the substance of the substance of	ontaining the canceled claims. er agreed would render the claim hat would render the claims THE SUBSTANCE OF THE eady been filed, APPLICANT IS THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. HE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ITERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 7-13.04). If a reply to the IVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, CORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMEN	endments which the examine copy of the amendments the last Office action has also of the mailing date of the substance of the substance of	ontaining the canceled claims. er agreed would render the claim hat would render the claims THE SUBSTANCE OF THE eady been filed, APPLICANT IS THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. HE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ITERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 7-13.04). If a reply to the IVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, CORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMEN	endments which the examine copy of the amendments the last Office action has also of the mailing date of the substance of the substance of	ontaining the canceled claims. er agreed would render the claims hat would render the claims THE SUBSTANCE OF THE eady been filed, APPLICANT IS THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where not allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. HE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ITERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 7-13.04). If a reply to the IVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, CORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMEN	endments which the examine copy of the amendments the last Office action has also of the mailing date of the substance of the substance of	ontaining the canceled claims. er agreed would render the claim hat would render the claims THE SUBSTANCE OF THE eady been filed, APPLICANT IS THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame llowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no llowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. HE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ITERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 7-13.04). If a reply to the lower months in the lower part of the lower p	endments which the examine copy of the amendments the last Office action has also of the mailing date of the substance of the substance of	ontaining the canceled claims. er agreed would render the claim hat would render the claims THE SUBSTANCE OF THE eady been filed, APPLICANT IS THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where not allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. HE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ITERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 7-13.04). If a reply to the IVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, CORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMEN	endments which the examine copy of the amendments the last Office action has also of the mailing date of the substance of the substance of	ontaining the canceled claims. er agreed would render the claim hat would render the claims THE SUBSTANCE OF THE eady been filed, APPLICANT IS THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where not allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. HE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ITERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 7-13.04). If a reply to the IVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, CORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMEN	endments which the examine copy of the amendments the last Office action has also of the mailing date of the substance of the substance of	ontaining the canceled claims. er agreed would render the claims hat would render the claims THE SUBSTANCE OF THE eady been filed, APPLICANT IS THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. HE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 7-13.04). If a reply to the IVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, CORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMEN	endments which the examine copy of the amendments the last Office action has also of the mailing date of the substance of the substance of	ontaining the canceled claims. er agreed would render the claim hat would render the claims THE SUBSTANCE OF THE eady been filed, APPLICANT IS THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. HE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ITERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 7-13.04). If a reply to the IVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, CORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMEN	endments which the examine copy of the amendments the last Office action has also of the mailing date of the substance of the substance of	ontaining the canceled claims. er agreed would render the claim hat would render the claims THE SUBSTANCE OF THE eady been filed, APPLICANT IS THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY

JAN 2 4 2005 Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as the substant of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agree made of the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
 attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
 not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.